

Not to mention one of the protesters that posted on facebook was being pretty racist to white people, calling them all "annoying" and "we would like a day without them"

Jaxon Mendias - 3 minutes ago

good convo bois

Jake P - 3 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: I agree, he wasn't being racist he was simply going off of simplicity that the day of absence was historical and cant be changed. So when the students where mad at him for coming to school it was because the professor believed that it wasn't necessary mainly because it wasn't historical. Is that racist? or is it nonracial because he was acknowledging that white people don't deserve a day of absence.

I see what you're saying but, I find it aggravating that the day of absence was a punishment to whites and a relief from "oppressive" white people for the people of color. I think it was more about this injustice rather than the historical aspect of the day of absence.

Dean - 4 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: every person deserves the same rights, if everyone had different right there would be chaos. that is why we have rules

agreed

Jaxon Mendias - 5 minutes ago

that everyone has to follow

Philip Mahan - 5 minutes ago

i think "ranks" in society are ridiculous, everyone should be treated equally

Jake P - 5 minutes ago

every person deserves the same rights, if everyone had different right there would be chaos. that is why we have rules

Philip Mahan - 5 minutes ago

Do different people deserve more rights for their place in society or does every person deserve the same rights?

Jaxon Mendias - 6 minutes ago

the way you put it makes it sound bad but personally i dont think that was his intent

Jake P - 6 minutes ago

Replying to: Dean: The students created the problem 100% because they cannot call someone racist and threaten them just because they didn't agree to not come do their job.

I agree, he wasn't being racist he was simply going off of simplicity that the day of absence was historical and cant be changed. So when the students where mad at him for coming to school it was because the professor believed that it wasn't necessary mainly because it wasn't historical.

Is that racist? or is it nonracial because he was acknowledging that white people don't deserve a day of absence.

Philip Mahan - 7 minutes ago

Replying to: Dean: Exactly

Agreed

Jaxon Mendias - 8 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: I agree, the teacher has his right to teach and the student cant take it away from him for some stupid "holiday" or protest

Exactly

Dean - 9 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: I agree, the teacher has his right to teach and the student cant take it away from him for some stupid "holiday" or protest

So when does the end Justify the means? (when is it worth giving up a lot or resorting to violence - when is it worth it?)

Jaxon Mendias - 9 minutes ago

Replying to: Jaxon Mendias: I believe the students were to blame/ the aggressors in the situation as his opinions needed to be stretched and taken out of context at face value to even be considered racist

True the students bent this whole thing way out of proportion to the point of

altering the severity of the situation completely.

Dean - 10 minutes ago

I agree, the teacher has his right to teach and the student cant take it away from him for some stupid "holiday" or protest

Jake P - 11 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: Do you think it was racist for the professor to come to school that day, or do you think the students where the aggressors in the situation that led it to sound racist?

I believe the students were to blame/ the aggressors in the situation as his opinions needed to be stretched and taken out of context at face value to even be considered racist

Jaxon Mendias - 12 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: Do you think it was racist for the professor to come to school that day, or do you think the students where the aggressors in the situation that led it to sound racist?

The students created the problem 100% because they cannot call someone racist and threaten them just because they didn't agree to not come do their job.

Dean - 12 minutes ago

Replying to: Dean: I think people need to really get in tune with what rights are important and what rights are not. I think this because these college students protesting the teacher had no reason to protest his right to object. He wasn't oppressing anyone and when you stop and think about it the students were just stirring up unnecessary conflict. Which is ultimately why racism is still alive in my opinion.

Do you think it was racist for the professor to come to school that day, or do you think the students where the aggressors in the situation that led it to sound racist?

Philip Mahan - 13 minutes ago

i kinda agree with deane but who gets to decide which rights arent important. some people will obviously have different opinions but I dont think its fair to declare that some rights dont matter

Jake P - 15 minutes ago

Replying to: Sheridan Brull: When does the end not justify the means?

(Where do we draw the line in terms of violence justifying the end result -

(Where do we draw the line in terms of violence justifying the end result - when does that go too far?)

So when does the end Justify the means? (when is it worth giving up a lot or resorting to violence - when is it worth it?)

Jaxon Mendias - 17 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: I feel like violence should always be a last resort to any conflict. But in some cases its necessary.

I think people need to really get in tune with what rights are important and what rights are not. I think this because these college students protesting the teacher had no reason to protest his right to object. He wasn't oppressing anyone and when you stop and think about it the students were just stirring up unnecessary conflict. Which is ultimately why racism is still alive in my opinion.

Dean - 17 minutes ago

Replying to: Sheridan Brull: When does the end not justify the means? (Where do we draw the line in terms of violence justifying the end result - when does that go too far?)

I feel like violence should always be a last resort to any conflict. But in some cases its necessary.

Philip Mahan - 21 minutes ago

it depends on what the argument is about, but I think once violence is involved it becomes unfair

Jake P - 21 minutes ago

Replying to: Dean: Yeah however, risking your safety to protect a non critical right like the students were it's stupid.

That is true

Jaxon Mendias - 21 minutes ago

Replying to: Jaxon Mendias: agreed

Yeah however, risking your safety to protect a non critical right like the students were it's stupid.

Dean - 22 minutes ago

When does the end not justify the means? (Where do we draw the line in terms of violence justifying the end result - when does that go too far?)

👤 Sheridan Brull - 22 minutes ago

Replying to: Dean: In the case of the Military yes because rights have value .

agreed

Jaxon Mendias - 23 minutes ago

Replying to: Jaxon Mendias: So guys, would you risk injury to protect your rights?

In the case of the Military yes because rights have value .

Dean - 24 minutes ago

So whats yalls opinion on hong kong and the chinese protests :)

Jaxon Mendias - 24 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: I agree, you should gather a group of people with similar opinions on the topic

agreed

Jaxon Mendias - 25 minutes ago

I agree, you should gather a group of people with similar opinions on the topic

Jake P - 25 minutes ago

Replying to: Jaxon Mendias: Dont convey your opinion about a controversial topic to the public, but to people you already know share your opinions

or you can just do it anonymously but that might still aggravate the public : /

Jaxon Mendias - 25 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: what do you think is the best way to convey your opinion about a controversial topic without aggravating the public?

Dont convey your opinion about a controversial topic to the public, but to people you already know share your opinions

Jaxon Mendias - 26 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: what do you think is the best way to convey your opinion about a controversial topic without aggravating the public?

Do you think it was racist for people at the school to go off on the professor? If

Do you think it was racist for people at the concert to go on on the professor? If so how do you think it was?
Philip Mahan - 26 minutes ago

what do you think is the best way to convey your opinion about a controversial topic without aggravating the public?
Jake P - 28 minutes ago

Replying to: Philip Mahan: When it involves more than just yourself

agreed
Jaxon Mendias - 30 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: agreed, but when do you think its acceptable?

When it involves more than just yourself
Philip Mahan - 30 minutes ago

agreed, but when do you think its acceptable?
Jake P - 31 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: at what point do you think it becomes unfair to protest your rights

I think if the "rights" you're fighting for "oppresses" or just negatively affects other people its unfair for you to be affected well and them to be affected badly
Jaxon Mendias - 32 minutes ago

Replying to: Jaxon Mendias: So guys, would you risk injury to protect your rights?

As jake said it would depend on the situation, if it involved others more than myself then yes but if it was a little topic then it wouldn't matter to me as much
Philip Mahan - 33 minutes ago

at what point do you think it becomes unfair to protest your rights
Jake P - 34 minutes ago

Replying to: Jake P: if a group of people were behind me and fought for what I did i would but if it were a more personal topic then definitely not

understandable
Jaxon Mendias - 34 minutes ago

if a group of people were behind me and fought for what I did i would but if it were a more personal topic then definitely not

Jake P - 35 minutes ago

So guys, would you risk injury to protect your rights?

Jaxon Mendias - 36 minutes ago